## Annual Engagement Policy Implementation Statement (to 30 June 2021)

## **BMPS UK Pension Fund**

#### 1. Introduction

This Engagement Policy Implementation Statement ('the Statement') sets out how, and the extent to which, the Engagement Policy in the Statement of Investment Principles ('SIP') produced by the Trustees has been followed during the year to 30 June 2021. This statement has been produced in accordance with The Pension Protection Fund (Pensionable Service) and Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment and Modification) Regulations 2018 and the subsequent amendment in The Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment) Regulations 2019, which transposes the EU Shareholder Rights Directive (SRD II) into UK law.

Section 2 of this Statement provides detail of the actions taken by the Trustees to meet the Fund's engagement policies set out in the SIP over the year. Section 3 describes the voting behaviour on behalf of the Trustees along with the relevant statistics over the year.

By adopting Mercer's Dynamic De-Risking Solution, Mercer Limited (Mercer) in the UK has been appointed as discretionary investment manager by the Trustees. Pursuant to that appointment, Fund monies are invested in Mercer Funds, which are collective investment vehicles, managed by Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited (MGIE

### 2. Policy on ESG, Stewardship and Climate Change

The Fund's SIP includes the Trustees' policy on Environmental, Social and Governance ('ESG') factors, stewardship and climate change. This policy sets out the Trustees' beliefs on ESG and climate change and the processes followed by the Trustees in relation to voting rights and stewardship.

In order to establish the Trustees' beliefs and produce the policy in the SIP, the Trustees undertook training provided by their investment consultant, Mercer, on responsible investment which covered ESG factors, stewardship, climate change and the approach undertaken by Mercer and MGIE on 11 February 2021.

The Fund SIP includes the Trustees' policy on Environmental, Social and Governance ('ESG') factors, stewardship and climate change. This policy sets out the Trustees's beliefs on ESG and climate change and the processes followed by the Trustees in relation to voting rights and stewardship.

As noted in the SIP, the Trustees believe that ESG factors may have a material impact on investment risk and return outcomes, and that good stewardship can create and preserve value for companies and markets as a whole. The Trustees also recognise that long-term sustainability issues, particularly climate change, present risks and opportunities that increasingly may require explicit consideration.

As noted above, the Trustees have appointed Mercer to act as discretionary investment manager in respect of the Fund's assets and such assets are invested in a range of Mercer Funds managed by MGIE. Asset managers appointed to manage the Mercer Funds are expected to evaluate ESG factors,

including climate change considerations, and exercise voting rights and stewardship obligations attached to the investments, in accordance with their own corporate governance policies and current best practice, including the UK Corporate Governance Code and UK Stewardship Code.

The following work was undertaken during the year relating to the Trustees' policy on ESG factors, stewardship and climate change, and sets out how the Trustees' engagement and voting policies were followed and implemented during the year.

#### **Policy Updates**

- The Trustees consider how ESG, climate change and stewardship is integrated within Mercer's, and MGIE's, investment processes and those of the underlying asset managers in the monitoring process. Mercer, and MGIE, have provided reporting to the Trustees on a regular basis.
- The Mercer <u>Sustainability Policy</u> is reviewed regularly. In August 2020 the Stewardship section was updated to reflect an enhanced approach to monitoring both voting and engagement as well as the Exclusions section to include the implementation of certain exclusions across passive funds from 1 October 2020. In March 2021 there was a further update in relation to sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector ("SFDR") implementation.
- In line with the requirements of the EU Shareholder Rights Directive II, Mercer have implemented a standalone <u>Engagement Policy</u> to specifically address the requirements of the directive.

#### **Climate Change Reporting and Carbon Footprinting**

- Mercer undertake climate scenario modeling and stress testing on the Mercer multi sector funds used by the Fund on an annual basis, in line with the Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) recommendations, with the latest review as at 31 March 2020. The results of the climate scenario modelling and carbon footprinting are within the TCFD compliant Climate Change Management Report. The findings of the modelling are integrated into the asset allocation and portfolio construction decisions, with portfolios increasingly aligned with a 2°C scenario, where consistent with investment objectives and for consistency with the Paris Agreement on Climate Change.
- Carbon Footprint analysis of all equity funds is completed on a six monthly basis. From 31 December 2019 the approach was enhanced to include the top 5 carbon emitters and the top 5 contributors to the Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) to give the Mercer and MGIE investment team's additional information to drive engagement with managers.
- Since Q3 2020 carbon foot- printing metrics for Mercer active equity funds have been included in the quarterly reporting reviewed by the Trustees, and a comparison of these against the metrics of their representative benchmarks. Over 2020 there has been a 15% reduction in the WACI across the Mercer discretionary equity funds and, as at 31 December 2020, 100% of the active equity funds used by the Fund have a carbon intensity lower than the benchmark. In the Q4 report, this analysis was extended to include Mercer passive equity funds.

#### **ESG Rating Review**

- ESG ratings assigned by Mercer (and its affiliates') global manager research team, are included in the investment performance reports produced by Mercer on a quarterly basis and reviewed by the Trustees. ESG ratings are reviewed by MGIE during quarterly monitoring processes, with a more comprehensive review performed annually which seeks evidence of positive momentum on ESG integration. Since Q3 2020 the quarterly performance report has included the Mercer funds overall ESG rating compared to the appropriate universe of strategies in Mercer's global investment manager database.
- As at 31 December 2020 the Trustees noted that 95% of Mercer Funds now have an ESG rating equal to or above their asset class universe, a 10% improvement on 2019.

#### **Update to Exclusions**

- As an overarching principle, Mercer and MGIE, as the Trustees' discretionary investment manager, prefer an approach of positive engagement rather than negative divestment. However Mercer and MGIE recognises that there are a number of cases in which investors deem it unacceptable to profit from certain areas and therefore exclusions will be appropriate.
- Controversial and civilian weapons, and tobacco are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds. From 1 October 2020, the controversial weapons screen was extended to passive equity funds. The Mercer sustainable themed funds have additional exclusions, for example covering gambling, alcohol, adult entertainment and fossil fuels.
- In addition, Mercer and MGIE monitors for high-severity breaches of the UN Global Compact (UNGC) Principles that relate to human rights, environmental and corruption issues.

### Sustainably themed investments

- An allocation to Sustainable Equities, is directly made by the Fund, with the strategic allocation to Sustainable Equities within the Growth Portfolio increasing over the year.
- A detailed standalone report sustainability monitoring report is produced for the Sustainable Global Equity fund on a semi-annual basis, including a more granular breakdown of the fund against ESG metrics, for example the UN Sustainability Development Goals, and is reviewed by the Trustees.

#### **Diversity**

• From 31 December 2020 Gender diversity statistics have also been included in the quarterly reporting for the Mercer equity funds and this is being built into a broader investment policy.

#### 3. Voting Activity

The Trustees' investments take the form of shares or units in the Mercer Funds. Any voting rights that do apply with respect to the underlying investments attached to the Mercer Funds are, ultimately, delegated to the third party investment managers appointed by MGIE. MGIE accepts that managers may have detailed knowledge of both the governance and the operations of the investee companies and has therefore enabled managers to vote based on their own proxy-voting execution policy, and taking account of current best practice including the UK Corporate Governance Code and the UK Stewardship Code. As such the Trustees do not use the direct services of a proxy voter.

The MGIE Engagement Policy outlines this framework.

Set out below is a summary of voting activity for the year to 30 June 2021 relating to the relevant Mercer Funds.

• **Voting:** As part of the monitoring of managers' approaches to voting, MGIE assesses how active managers are voting against management and seeks to obtain the rationale behind voting activities, particularly in cases where split votes may occur (where managers note in different ways for the same proposal). MGIE portfolio managers will use these results to inform their engagements with managers on their voting activities.

The statistics set out in the table below are drawn from the Glass Lewis voting system (via Mercer's custodian). Typically, votes exercised against management can indicate a thoughtful and active approach. This is particularly visible where votes have been exercised to escalate engagement objectives. The expectation is for all shares to be voted.

"Unvoted" reflects instances where managers have not actioned a vote – these are specific areas where MGIE will follow up to ensure managers have appropriate systems in place to ensure all votes are actioned.

"Other" reflects instances where managers have withheld votes in Power of Attorney markets, share blocking markets or where conflicts of interest may be present.

• **Significant Votes:** Mercer Investment Solutions has based its definition of significant votes on its Global Engagement Priorities, based on its Beliefs, Materiality and Impact ("BMI") Framework. This is summarised in the Engagement Section of the MGIE Sustainability Policy. In order to capture this in the monitoring and reporting of managers voting activities, significant votes focus on proposals covering these priority areas, with specific focus placed on shareholder proposals ("SHP") relating to these priority areas and taking into account the size of holding across funds

## Voting Activity Summary 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021

Fund	Total Proposals	Voted 'For'	Voted 'Against'	Abstained from voting	Unvoted	Other	For Management	Against Management	Use of Proxy Advisor
Mercer Fundamental Indexation Global Equity CCF	2,307	90%	8%	0%	2%	0%	90%	10%	Yes
Mercer Global Listed Infrastructure Fund	204	90%	4%	5%	0%	0%	96%	4%	Yes
Mercer Global Small Cap Equity	9,738	92%	6%	1%	1%	0%	94%	6%	Yes*
Mercer Low Volatility Equity	7,610	93%	5%	1%	1%	0%	94%	6%	Yes
Mercer Multi-Asset Credit Fund	24	63%	0%	38%	0%	0%	93%	7%	Yes**
Mercer Passive Global REITS UCITS CCF	3,057	82%	13%	0%	4%	0%	86%	14%	Yes
Mercer Sustainable Global Equity Fund	4,879	85%	13%	1%	1%	0%	88%	12%	Yes
MGI Emerging Markets Equity Fund	17,430	83%	12%	5%	0%	0%	87%	13%	Yes
MGI Eurozone Equity Fund	4,486	85%	13%	3%	0%	0%	88%	12%	Yes*
Mercer UK Equity	977	98%	2%	0%	0%	0%	99%	1%	Yes

<sup>\*</sup>Fund uses a number of proxy advisors

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to the nature of the Mercer Multi Asset Credit fund, there are only a small number of equity holdings that can be reported on

# **Example Summary of Significant Votes over the year**

Fund	Shareholder Proposal ("SHP")	Issuer	Vote Decision
Mercer Fundamental Indexation Global Equity CCF	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with the Paris Agreement	RIO Tinto Ltd.	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Climate Change Proxy Voting Practices	T. Rowe Price Assoc Inc.	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights Risk Report	RIO Tinto Ltd.	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Alignment of Racial Justice Goals with Starting Pay	Walmart Inc	For
Mercer Global Listed Infrastructure	Shareholder Proposal Regarding presentation of Climate Transition Plan Shareholder Proposal Regarding Amending the Byelaws Concerning the Presentation of Climate Transition Reporting	Aena S.M.E. S.A. Aena S.M.E. S.A.	Mixed Mixed
Mercer Global Small Cap Equity	Shareholder Proposal Regarding TCFD Reporting	Toyo Seikan Group Holdings Ltd.	Against
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding phase Out of Oil and Gas	Beach Energy Limited	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Board Diversity Report	Badger Meter Inc.	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights Reporting	Tesla Inc.	Against
Mercer Low Volatility Equity	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Formation of a Human Rights Risk Oversight Commitee	American Tower Corp.	Against
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with the Paris Agreement	Exxon Mobil Corp.	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Child Labor Linked to Electric Vehicles	Exelon Corp.	Against
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights/Civil Rights Expertise on Board	Alphabet Inc	Mixed*
	*one manager voted for, one against	Facebook Inc.	Against
Mercer Multi-Asset Credit Fund	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Proxy Access Bylaws Amendment	Nisource Inc. (Holding Co.)	For
	Management Proposals Regarding Election of Directors	PG&E Corporation	Abstain
	Management Proposal Regarding Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation  * ** Due to the nature of the Mercer Multi Asset Credit fund, there are only a small number of equity holdings that can be reported on.	PG&E Corporation	Abstain
Mercer Passive Global REITS UCITS CCF	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Election of Leon Bressler	Unibail-Rodamco-Westfield	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding the Election of Gary Weiss Shareholder Proposal Regarding Election of Joseph Gersh	Cromwell Property Group Cromwell Property Group	Against For

Mercer Sustainable Global Equity Fund	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Deforestation Report	Procter & Gamble Co.	For
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Plastic Packaging	Amazon.com Inc.	Abstain
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Racial Equity Audit	Amazon.com Inc.	Abstain
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Right Reporting	Tesla Inc	For
MGI Emerging Markets Equity Fund	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Non-Management Employee Representation on the Board	Microsoft Corporation	Against
	Capital Reduction (Shareholder Proposal)	Busan City Gas	Against
	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Pension Scheme	HSBC Holding Plc.	Against
MGI Eurozone Equity Fund	Shareholder Proposal Regarding GHG Reduction Targets	BP Plc.	Against
	Management Proposal Regarding Pension Scheme	HSBC Holding Plc.	Against
MGI UK Equity Fund	Shareholder Proposal Regarding Industry Association COVID-19 Advocacy Activities Shareholder Proposal Regarding Cultural Heritage Protection Management Proposals Regarding Election of Directors	BHP Group Plc. BHP Group Plc. Just Eat Takeaway.com N.V.	Against Against Against

In instances where significant shareholder proposals were not available over the period, management proposals were selected considering holding size and proposal matter